BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

COMMISSON MEETING

OCTOBER 13, 2011

2:00 P.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT: John E. 'Butch' Howard, *Chairman*, David A. Wright, *Vice Chairman*; and COMMISSIONERS Elizabeth B. 'Lib' FLEMING, G. O'Neal Hamilton, Randy Mitchell, Swain E. Whitfield, and Nikiya 'Nikki' Hall

ADVISOR TO COMMISSION: Joseph Melchers, Esq., General Counsel
PRESENTING AGENDA: James Spearman, Ph.D., Executive Assistant
to the Commissioners

STAFF: Jocelyn G. Boyd, Chief Clerk/Administrator; F. David Butler, Senior Counsel; B. Randall Dong, Esq., Josh Minges, Esq., and Rebecca Dulin, Esq., Legal Staff; Phil Riley, Doug Pratt, Tom Ellison, and Lynn Ballentine, Advisory Staff; Patty Sands, Deborah Easterling; Allison Minges, Dale Davis, and Calvin Wood; Hope Adams, Recording Assistant; and Janice Schmieding, Clerk's Staff and Commission Meeting Assistant

EXCERPT

COMPRISING UTILITIES AGENDA ITEM #14

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

101 EXECUTIVE CENTER DRIVE COLUMBIA, SC 29210

POST OFFICE BOX 11649 COLUMBIA, SC 29211

WWW.PSC.SC.GOV

EXCERPT

DR. SPEARMAN: Item No. 14, Docket No. 2011-47-WS, the Application of Carolina Water Service for an increase in rates and charges, is ready for final disposition.

COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HOWARD: Commissioner Whitfield.

COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Mr. Chairman, in

Docket No. 2011-47-WS, Carolina Water Service seeks
to increase its rates and charges for water and
sewer services provided to all its South Carolina
customers. The company's initial application
requested a gross revenue increase of \$2,232,408.
Under current rates, a household receiving both
water and sewer service from Carolina Water Service
and consuming 7,000 gallons per month faces a
monthly combined water-and-sewer bill of \$74.94.
Under the tariff proposed by the company in its
initial application, this household would see a
total monthly combined increase of \$34.68, making
the total bill \$109.62 per month.

A full evidentiary hearing in this docket was held on September 7th and 8th. Additionally, the Commission held three public hearings, at which it heard from members of the public, one in the Oak

Grove community in Lexington County, another in the Lake Wylie community in York County, and then in the Commission's hearing room here in Columbia. At these hearings, a total of 55 public witnesses testified in opposition to the proposed rate increase.

Customer testimony in this case was

Customer testimony in this case was particularly compelling. We heard from witnesses who reported a myriad of customer service problems, including irregular and inaccurate billing, unwarranted disconnection of service, sewer system overflows, water service disruption, and frequent boil-water advisories, and poor water quality.

In some neighborhoods -- particularly Forty

Love Point, in Chapin, and Oak Grove, in Lexington

County -- we heard testimony that the water

provided was discolored, smelled bad, tastes bad,

and damaged clothes, fixtures, and appliances.

Some of these customers reported that they had

incurred significant additional household expenses

for water filtration systems and/or bottled

drinking water as a direct result of the poor water

quality in their homes.

We are absolutely convinced from the testimony we received that the customer service problems

presented by the Applicant are so pervasive and severe that the most just result in this case would be a general denial of the requested increase in its entirety. I move that we, therefore, deny the Applicant's request for rate relief. Mr. Chairman, that is my motion.

CHAIRMAN HOWARD: You've heard Commissioner Whitfield's motion. Are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER FLEMING: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HOWARD: Commissioner Fleming.

respect for my colleagues and certainly understand their frustration with this company, I will have to not support the motion, because we did, in fact, do that same thing with a utility company just like this one, with similar problems, and the Supreme Court issued a decision just this year, in March of 2011, saying that -- setting out a roadmap, actually, for dealing with water and sewer. I believe what ORS proposed followed the Supreme Court order, and that -- if I had had my choice, that's the motion I would have supported, because of the -- and my concern is for the customer, because this will probably be appealed. The rates that were originally requested, by State law, can

COMMISSION MEETING OCTOBER 13, 2011 5

go into effect until that appeal is settled, and with what ORS set out it would mean an increase of \$5.01 to the water-and-sewer customer on an average bill of 7,000 gallons. And that would be -- and I certainly, as I said, have great regard for my colleagues, and I understand their frustration with the company.

CHAIRMAN HOWARD: Ready to vote?

COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, I have --

CHAIRMAN HOWARD: Commissioner Hall.

would just like to echo Commissioner Fleming's feelings. My frustration is with the statute -- I believe it's Section 58-5-240 Part D -- that allows the company to get the increase under bond. And my concern is that this is a temporary relief for the customer, that now they'll get zero but when the company files for appeal they'll get the full increase of \$34.68. So, this is my first very controversial vote, Mr. Chairman, and having tremendous respect for my colleagues, as well, I just -- my concern is for the customer, and I don't think that a general denial is best for the customers.

CHAIRMAN HOWARD: All Commissioners in favor

COMMISSION MEETING OCTOBER 13, 2011

```
of the motion, say "aye."
 1
 2
                           COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
                                                     Opposed, "no."
 3
                           CHAIRMAN HOWARD:
                           COMMISSIONER FLEMING:
                                                            No.
 4
                           COMMISSIONER HALL:
 5
                                                        No.
                           CHAIRMAN HOWARD: Motion carries.
 6
 7
                                        __End of Excerpt/Agenda Item___
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
      Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM-GNSC
      Certified Court Reporter
      Public Service Commission of South Carolina
      101 Executive Center Drive, Columbia SC 29210
      P.O. Box 11649, Columbia SC 29211
      1 (803) 896-5108
      Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov
```